GAMIFICATION: TOO MUCH OF A GOOD THING?

DIGITAL SHOREDITCH

4TH MAY, 2011

PROF. RICHARD A. BARTLE UNIVERSITY OF ESSEX

INTRODUCTION

- THIS TALK UNDERTAKES A SIMPLE THOUGHT EXPERIMENT
- SUPPOSE GAMIFICATION TAKES OFF AND TWO YEARS FROM NOW IT'S UBIQUITOUS
- · WILL IT BE UBIQUITOUS FIVE YEARS LATER?
- WE KNOW IT CAN WORK FOR INDIVIDUAL CASES
 - SCIENTOLOGY SUCCESSFULLY GAMIFIED RELIGION
- BUT CAN IT WORK ACROSS THE BOARD IN A SUSTAINABLE FASHION?

INTRODUCTION

- GAMIFICATION IS A TERM SEVERAL DECADES
 OLD
 - USED TO MEAN "TURNING SOMETHING NOT A GAME INTO A GAME"
 - NOW SEEMS TO MEAN "TURNING A GAME INTO SOMETHING NOT A GAME"
- FORMALLY, IT'S PUTTING GAME DESIGN PATTERNS TO NON-GAME USE
 - IMPLIES YOU CAN GAMIFY IN DIFFERENT WAYS
 - IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE JUST POINTSIFICATION
 - TYPICALLY IT **IS**, THOUGH, BECAUSE IT'S NOT UNDERTAKEN BY GAME DESIGNERS...

SERIOUS GAMES

- · GAMIFICATION DIFFERS FROM "SERIOUS GAMES":
 - SERIOUS GAMES WANT A GAME AT THE END OF IT
 - GAMIFICATION DOESN'T
- MOST GAMIFICATION CAN'T LEAD TO A GAME
- · GAMES ARE PLAY YOU CAN LOSE AT
- GAMIFIED ACTIVITIES ARE NOT PLAY AND YOU CAN'T LOSE AT THEM
 - INTERESTINGLY, SERIOUS GAMES STARTED OUT USING SIMPLIFIED GAMIFICATION TECHNIQUES BUT ABANDONED THEM BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T DELIVER

REWARDS

- · A KEY GAMIFICATION ASPECT IS REWARDS
- · INTRINSIC REWARDS ARE INHERENT TO AN ACTIVITY ITSELF
 - EG. FORMULATING A CUNNING PLAN IN CHESS
 - PLAY IS ITSELF ULTIMATELY AN INTRINSIC REWARD
- EXTRINSIC REWARDS ARE ACQUIRED FOR DOING AN ACTIVITY
 - EG. PHAT LEWT
- GAMIFICATION EXCLUSIVELY USES
 EXTRINSIC REWARDS

[OPTIONAL]

- IN GAMES, EXTRINSIC REWARDS CAN BE USED FOR A NUMBER OF THINGS:
 - TO MAKE IMPLICIT PROGRESS EXPLICIT
 - · XPS MAKE YOUR CHARACTER IMPROVE AS YOU IMPROVE
 - TO BREADCRUMB PLAYERS THROUGH DIRECTIONLESS CONTENT
 - · YES, YOU WERE SUPPOSED TO KILL THOSE GUYS
 - TO OPEN UP NEW CONTENT OR SHORTCUT PLAYED-THROUGH CONTENT
 - · WITH THIS STONE YOU CAN TELEPORT TO THE CITY OF GOLD
 - TO INDICATE THE END OF A NARRATIVE CYCLE
 - . THAT GUY YOU KILLED WAS THE BOSS OF THIS DUNGEON WING
 - TO HEIGHTEN THE RESPONSE FROM AN INTRINSIC REWARD
 - · NOT ONLY WAS THAT FUN, YOU GET THIS!

DIFFERENCE

- GAMES TEND TO OFFER EXTRINSIC REWARDS FOR ACTIVITIES THE PLAYER ALREADY FINDS FUN
- IN GAMIFICATION, RECEIPT OF THE REWARD IS

 ITSELF THE FUN
 - THIS MEANS THE REWARDS DO ACTUALLY HAVE TO BE WORTH RECEIVING!
- . THEY CAN BE INTRINSICALLY VALUABLE
 - A FUN, JAUNTY VICTORY TUNE
- · OR EXTRINSICALLY VALUABLE
 - POINTS

PROBLEM

- · GAMIFICATION IS BASICALLY BRIBERY
 - YOU REWARD SOMEONE FOR DOING SOMETHING THAT YOU WANT THEM TO DO
- IF THE REWARD ISN'T VALUABLE, IT'S NOT A REWARD
- WARNING: POINTS THAT YOU CAN'T TURN INTO GOODS OR SERVICES ARE NOT VALUABLE!
- THIS WILL GRADUALLY **DAWN** ON PEOPLE IN **RECEIPT** OF THOSE POINTS
- ONCE PEOPLE RECOGNISE THE PATTERN FROM THEIR PAST EXPERIENCES, YOU'RE STUFFED

NEXT UP

- IF RECEIPT OF EXTRINSIC REWARDS IS TAKEN FOR GRANTED, IT CAN UNDERMINE INTRINSIC REWARDS
 - GOOGLE "OVERJUSTIFICATION EFFECT"
- · LEADS TO A **DISASSOCIATION** WITH THE CONTENT
 - WOW'S TORTURE QUEST
- · YET REWARDS DON'T HAVE TO BE REGULAR
- · IRREGULARITY (AN ADD MORE GAMEPLAY WITHOUT IMPLYING A GAME

A DARK PATH

- REGULARITY: "PULL THIS HANDLE ZO TIMES AND WE'LL GIVE YOU ET"
 - EMPLOYMENT, VANILLA GAMIFICATION
- IRREGULARITY: "PULL THIS HANDLE AND THERE'S A 5% CHANCE OF WINNING £1"
 - GAMBLING, ADVANCED GAMIFICATION
- THIS IS STARTING TO LOOK LIKE A VARIABLE RATIO REINFORCEMENT SCHEDULE
 - OPERANT CONDITIONING
 - VERY INTERESTING IF YOU HAVE STUFF TO SELL!

ESCHEWED

- GAME DESIGNERS STUDIOUSLY AVOID OPERANT CONDITIONING (FOR EXTRINSIC REWARDS)
 - ITS NOT FUN
 - FUN IS INTRINSIC, NOT EXTRINSIC
 - ITS AN ADMISSION OF FAILURE
 - IT MEANS THE GAMEPLAY IS TOO WEAK ON ITS OWN
 - ITS ONLY USABLE ON NAIVE PLAYERS
 - · ONCE THEY'VE LEARNED THE PATTERN, THEY AVOID IT
 - ITS IMMORAL
 - IT CAN LEAD TO **PSYCHOLOGICAL** PROBLEMS FOR SOME PEOPLE

GAMIFIERS

- · WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR GAMIFIERS?
 - THEY DON'T EXPECT IT TO BE FUN ANYWAY
 - THEY READILY ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THEIR CONTENT ISN'T COMPELLING
 - · IT'S PRECISELY WHY THEY'RE GAMIFYING IT!
 - THEY NEED TO REALISE THAT THIS IS A BUBBLE THAT WILL EVENTUALLY BURST
 - THEY SHOULD EXPECT TO BE SUED IF THEY

 DELIBERATELY TRY TO ADDICT PEOPLE
- · THEY'RE NOT GAME DESIGNERS...

PLAYER TYPES

- MY PLAYER TYPES THEORY IS INVOKED A LOT FOR GAMIFICATION
- PEOPLE PLAY [VIRTUAL WORLDS] FOR DIFFERENT REASONS
 - ACHIEVERS, EXPLORERS, SOCIALISERS, KILLERS
 - CREATE CONTENT FOR ALL FOUR TYPES
- GAMIFICATION PROBLEM: REWARDS HAVE TO BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE PLAYER TYPES
- POINTS, LEVELS, BADGES, LEADERBOARDS ETC.

 ONLY APPEAL TO ACHIEVERS!

FURTHERMORE

- SOCIALISERS DON'T WANT ACHIEVEMENT REWARDS, THEY WANT REWARDS THAT LET THEM SOCIALISE BETTER
 - WHICH YOU SHOULD GIVE THEM INTRINSICALLY
 FROM THE START ANYWAY
- · ALSO, PLAYERS CHANGE TYPE OVER TIME
 - THE 8-TYPE MODEL EXPLAINS HOW THIS HAPPENS
- PLAYERS DON'T STAY AS ACHIEVERS OR WHATEVER FOREVER THEY MOVE ON

RESULT

- · IF TOO MUCH GAMIFICATION GOES ON:
 - PEOPLE WILL REALISE THAT WORTHLESS
 EXTRINSIC REWARDS ARE WORTHLESS
 - "YAY. ANOTHER BADGE. WHOOPEE".
 - PEOPLE WILL EVENTUALLY RECOGNISE AND AVOID EXTRINSIC OPERANT CONDITIONING TROPES
 - · "I'M NOT PLAYING THIS, IT'S JUST LIKE THAT"
 - PEOPLE WILL MOVE ON FROM GAMIFICATION IF THEY WERE EVER INTO IT IN THE FIRST PLACE
 - "DO | REALLY CARE IF | LOSE THE MAYORSHIP OF MY LOCAL STARBUCKS?"

CONCLUSION

- GAMIFICATION WILL **NOT** BE UBIQUITOUS AFTER FIVE YEARS
 - THE MORE IT **HAPPENS**, THE LESS **EFFECTIVE** IT BECOMES
- · GOOD NEWS FOR GAME DESIGNERS:
 - NON-GAMERS WILL HAVE BEEN TRAINED AND MAY WANT TO PLAY SOME ACTUAL GAMES
- · ALSO OK NEWS FOR GAMIFIERS
 - BEFORE THIS HAPPENS, THERE'S POTS OF MONEY TO BE MADE!